JOSH GREEN, M.D. GOVERNOR KE KIA'ĀINA



STATE OF HAWAI'I | KA MOKU'ĀINA 'O HAWAI'I DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION | KA 'OIHANA ALAKAU

869 PUNCHBOWL STREET HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813-5097 EDWIN H. SNIFFEN DIRECTOR KA LUNA HO'OKELE

Deputy Directors
Nã Hope Luna Ho'okele
DREANALEE K. KALILI
TAMMY L. LEE
CURT T. OTAGURO
ROBIN K. SHISHIDO

IN REPLY REFER TO:

DIR 1.11882

February 6, 2025

Commission on Salaries Leiopapa A Kamehameha Building, State Office Tower Merit Appeals Board Conference Room, 14th Floor, Room 1403 235 South Beretania Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Commissioners:

I urge the Commission to consider aligning the salary schedule for Deputy Directors within the Hawaii Department of Transportation (HDOT) with the scale and complexity of their responsibilities by including them in the Tier 1 salary schedule.

HDOT Deputy Directors oversee critical modal programs responsible for managing and maintaining the state's essential transportation infrastructure—airports, harbors, and highways—each of which is vital to Hawaii's economy, public safety, and quality of life. Unlike their counterparts in Tier 2 departments, HDOT deputies manage large-scale, multimillion-dollar projects with significant federal oversight, ensuring compliance with intricate regulatory frameworks while directing operations of immense scope and impact.

The level of responsibility carried by HDOT Deputy Directors significantly exceeds that of other departments currently classified under Tier 2. Key factors that warrant reclassification include:

- **Budget Oversight:** HDOT administers one of the largest capital improvement and operating budgets in the state, managing projects that collectively exceed \$6.6 billion annually.
- Federal Compliance and Funding: A substantial portion of HDOT's funding comes from federal sources, requiring deputies to navigate complex federal regulations, secure competitive grants, and ensure project eligibility.
- Staff and Project Management: The three HDOT Deputy Directors oversee thousands of employees, contractors, and consultants statewide while leading the planning, execution, and delivery of major infrastructure projects essential to public safety, economic growth, and environmental resilience.
- Emergency Response and Resilience: HDOT plays a critical role in disaster response and recovery efforts, requiring deputies to coordinate with federal, state, and local agencies to restore essential services in times of crisis.

Commission on Salaries February 6, 2025 Page 2

Given the magnitude of these responsibilities and the direct comparison to Tier 1 departments, it is both equitable and necessary to classify HDOT Deputy Directors accordingly. Competitive compensation is essential to attracting and retaining experienced leadership capable of navigating the complexities of Hawaii's transportation infrastructure and securing the state's long-term success.

I respectfully urge the Commission to reconsider the current classification and align the HDOT Deputy Directors with the Tier 1 salary schedule to accurately reflect their contributions and responsibilities.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I am available to provide any additional information as needed and can be contacted via email at edwin.h.sniffen@hawaii.gov or via phone at (808) 587-2150.

Sincerely,

EDWIN H. SNIFFEN Director of Transportation

From: Eric Chang

To: <u>DHRD.Compensation</u>
Cc: <u>CIVILBEAT-News</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Serious Concerns with proposed pay raises for governor, lt. governor and judges

Date: Thursday, February 6, 2025 9:32:47 AM

Attachments: 2025 0203 COS-Tentative-Proposed-Salaries DHRD-Comp Monthly.pdf

To Whom It May Concern:

The proposed pay raises found on the "Proposal of Salary Increases, Prepared by DHRD Staff from COS Meeting on 2/3/25" are beyond absurd. Greed has truly taken over.

Under no circumstance do Hawaii's governor(s), lieutenant governor(s), or judges deserve a 20% to 32% pay raise in a single year (for 2026). There are no adequate adjectives and verbs in the English language that can be found to describe how dysfunctional this proposal is.

The rest of the state is struggling and no other government workers get anywhere close to those percentages of raises.

Only when the average public employee can get a 20% raise in a year should governors, lieutenant governors, and/or judges get the same.

Because governors, lieutenant governors, and/or judges are in positions of power, they can push their weight around and demand these types of increases. These positions are already highly paid. I know this first hand, judges (on all levels- District, Circuit, ICA, and Supreme Court) make more than most attorneys in the private sector. That's a fact. Plus if you're an attorney in the private sector you have to pay overheads costs, which judges do not have to incur.

These types of ridiculous pay raises should be voted on by the public.

I am disgusted.

EC

From: <u>keith tajiri</u>

To: <u>DHRD.Compensation</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Meeting Of The Commission On Salaries for Members Of The Legislature #4

Date: Monday, February 10, 2025 12:01:11 PM

Dear Members of the Salary Commission,

In regards to the salary for those serving in the Legislature. I do not support the Legislature working full time and also getting a 67% pay increase. This will place a burden on the tax payers. Thank you.

Sincerely, Keith Tajiri
 From:
 Richard Mori

 To:
 DHRD.Compensation

 Cc:
 Richard Mori

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Salary for Members of the Legislature Agenda #4

Date: Monday, February 10, 2025 11:44:15 AM

Dear Members of the Salary Commission,

This is in regards to the salary for those serving in the Legislature. I wholeheartedly do not support the Legislature working full time and also getting a 67% increase in pay. This would also put a very high burden on the tax payers forever, as the legislature may work for 4 years and retire with high 3 for life. I do not want the same thing to happen as did with the Honolulu City Council.

This was always supposed to be a part time position. We already have too many new bills and laws as it is. We do not want big government to be intrusive to every part of our lives.

Mahalo,

Richard Mori

WRITTEN TESTIMONY by Laurie Thorson

(hearing on 02.10.25)

Attached to my written testimony is the FRAUD CHARTS

I created that proves fraud by state employees, who are stealing millions of dollars from the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program. These federal funds are allocated to the Hawaii Public Housing Authority to implement the Section 8 program, which provides housing assistance to Hawaii's citizens and veterans.

Attached to my written testimony is the BRIBERY CHART

I created that proves state employees are being bribed by their superiors into enforcing illegal policies in exchange for receiving outrageous salary increases.

According to Senate Bill 3120, the legislature already determined that state employees are entitled to receive outrageous salary increases that may exceed the governor's salary.

According to Hawaii Revised Statues, this is not permitted. Nevertheless, the legislature already approved Senate Bill 3120.

SUMMARY OF SENATE BILL 3120

Hakim Ouansafi, the Executive Director of the Hawaii Public Housing Authority, fraudulently solicited and convinced the legislatures to approval and to pass Senate Bill 3120, thereby allowing Hakim Ouansafi to by-pass the Hawaii Revised Statutes and the Hawaii Public Housing Authority "Board of Directors" by granting Hakim Ouansafi (as a state employee) sole authority to hire his own managers and "reward" them with salaries "...that exceed the Governor's salary...", which is against the law according to **HRS §356D-2**.

On January 24, 2024, Hakim Ouansafi introduced SB 3120, after the Board of Directors for the Hawaii Public Housing Authority refused him authority to increase the salaries of the management staff to exceed the governor's salary. SB 3120 is what triggered the bribery scheme because Hakim Ouansafi was intentionally by-passing the authority of the Board of Directors, and the authority of the Hawaii Revised Statutes. By Hakim Ouansafi soliciting and obtaining authority by way of SB 3120, this allowed him to unjustly enrich his management staff to provoke them into committing Fraud to support Hakim Ousnasafi stealing millions of dollars from the Section 8 program.

• The attached FRAUD CHARTS proves that not only is Hakim Ouansafi stealing money from the Section 8 program, but the following state employees are also stealing from the Section 8 program (3 of the 5 PHAs in Hawaii steal, Big Island and Maui do not steal):

 The FRAUD CHARTS prove that 3 of the 5 PHAs (Public Housing Authority) in Hawaii are stealing millions of dollars in federal funds from the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program:

HAKIM OUANSAFI stole \$110,922,769

Director of the PHA: HAWAII PUBLIC HOUSING AUTHORITY stole \$110,922,769 (**since January 2015**) from the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, and he continues to steal ±\$1,000,000 every month.

ANTON KRUCKY stole \$10,942,886

Director of the PHA: CITY OF COUNTY OF HONOLULU stole \$10,942,886 (in just one year, **Jan – Oct 2024**) from the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, and he continues to steal ±\$1,000,000 every month (approximately a total of \$109,428,860 since Jan 2015).

ADAM ROVERSI stole \$2,697,658

Director of the PHA: KAUAI COUNTY HOUSING AGENCY stole \$2,697,658 (in just one year, **Jan – Oct 2024**) from the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, and he continues to steal ±\$270,000 every month (approximately a total of \$26,976,580 since Jan 2015).

- The attached BRIBERY CHART proves that Hakim Ouansafi bribes his managers into supporting and implementing his illegal fraud scheme to steal federal funds from the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, by "rewarding" (a nice word for "bribery") his managers with outrageous salary increases.
- The attached BRIBERY CHART is a list of the managers who report directly to Hakim Ouansafi and who received outrageous salary increases.
- BRIBERY is defined as "...the offering, giving, receiving, or soliciting of any item of value (to include outrageous salary increases, which not in accordance with Hawaii's employee compensation schedules) to influence the actions of an official, or other person, in charge of a public or legal duty and to incline the individual to act contrary to their duty and the known rules of honesty and integrity." With regard to governmental operations, essentially, bribery is defined as "...corrupt solicitation, acceptance, or transfer of value [i.e., outrageous salary increases] in exchange for official action...".
- SB 3120 ~ February 8, 2024, Hakim Ouansafi provided written testimony to the legislature in "strong support" of SB 3120 to grant himself sole authority to by-pass the HPHA Board of Directors and by-pass the Hawaii Revised Statutes.

(NO STATE EMPLOYEE SHOULD HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO BY-PASS THE HAWAII REVISED STATUTES)

Ouansafi writes in his written testimony:

- "...salaries are authorized by the Executive Director and NOT the Board of Directors..."
- "...**Deletes the wage ceiling** requirement for positions in the HPHA..." and "...clarify that the staff report to the Executive Director..."
- "...authorize the Executive Director to hire staff that may need salaries that are required to be <u>ABOVE the</u> Governor's salary..."

(CLEARLY IN VIOLATION OF HAWAII REVISED STATUTES §356D-2)

https://www.capitol.hawaii.gov/session/measure_indiv.aspx?billtype=SB &billnumber=3120&year=2024.

SB 3120

- "...executive director and an executive assistant, whose salaries shall be set by the board...". Note: the board already set the Director's salary at 99% of the Governor's salary; and the board already set the Director Assistant's salary at 99% of the Director's salary).
- "...executive director shall employ...a chief financial officer, a property management branch chief, a chief planner, a redevelopment officer, a chief compliance officer, a housing contract and procurement officer, a human resources and safety officer, and a housing policy and program coordinator, whose salaries shall be set by the executive director...".
- SB 3120 ~ Pray the legislature nullifies SB 3120, thereby reneging on its decision to allow Hakim Ouansafi to be empowered and to have authority over the Hawaii Revised Statutes and the Board of Directors of the Hawaii Public Housing Authority.
- THE "BRIBERY CHART" LISTS ALL THE MANAGERS WHO REPORT DIRECTLY TO HAKIM OUANSAFI AND WHO HAVE RECEIVED DRASTIC INCREASES IN THEIR SALARY.
- SB 3120 GRANTS HAKIM OUANSAFI SOLE AUTHORITY TO HIRE HIS OWN MANAGEMENT STAFF (AKA: ACCOMPLICES IN HIS FRAUD SCHEME), AND TO INCREASE THEIR WAGES TO EXCEED THE GOVERNOR'S SALARY (AKA: BRIBERY).

THE BENEFICIARIES OF SB 3120 INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING STATE EMPLOYEES OF THE HAWAII PUBLIC HOUSING AUTHORITY:

- **BENNETT LIU** (Chief Financial Officer) who altered the HPHA's financial statements, who lied to HUD, who lied to the state auditors, and who lied to the HPHA Board of Directors (recently received an annual increase in the amount of \$56,472).
- RYAN AKAMINE (Chief Compliance Officer) who enforces
 Hakim Ouansafi's illegal policies
 (recently received an annual increase in the amount of \$16,000).
- LYLE MATSUURA (Supervisor IV) who works directly with the Section 8 recipients and landlords and who implements Hakim Ouansafi's illegal policies to all recipients and landlords of the Section 8 program (recently received an annual increase in the amount of
- BARBARA ARASHIRO (Assistant to Hakim Ouansafi) (recently received a \$30,000 salary increase).

\$31,512).

 JENNIFER SUGITA (Property Management & Maintenance Services Branch Chief)
 (recently received an annual increase in the amount of \$42,936).

THE FACT OF MY LAWSUIT CAN BE READ AT THIS WEBSITE:

https://governmentcorruptioninhawaii.wordpress.com/

I pray God will bless Hawaii.

I pray that all who read my written testimony will be encouraged and know that I am sincere in wanting the best for Hawaii. I truly care for Hawaii, including the employees who have unfortunately succumbed to the love of money, and have unfortunately made a conscientious decision to exchange their integrity and honor for money.

I pray that Senate Bill 3120 will be nullified, and that the State of Hawaii will not authorize approve any requests to increase the wages of any government official and/or state employee. This will allow for transparency and to ensure that no corrupt person will be able to infiltrate our government.

God bless Hawaii, Laurie Thorson

Attachments:

Fraud flyer

(brief summary of fraud and bribery)

Fraud charts

(proves 3 of 5 PHAs in Hawaii are stealing)

Bribery chart

(proves payoff, unjust enrichment of state employees for their support to enforce illegal policies and to support the steal)

GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION IN HAWAII

https://GovernmentCorruptionInHawaii.wordpress.com/

FRAUD IS BEING ALLOWED BY HAWAII GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS

ALL OF HAWAII GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS KNOW ABOUT THE MILLIONS BEING STOLEN FROM THE SECTION 8 HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER PROGRAM, MONEY THAT IS DESIGNATED BY HUD TO PROVIDE HOUSING ASSISTANCE FOR OUR VETERANS, HOMELESS, DISABLED, ELDERLY, AND THE LOW-INCOME.

HAWAII'S GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS ARE LYING WHEN THEY CLAIM THERE IS AN "AFFORDABLE HOUSING" CRISIS. **THIS IS A LIE!** HAWAII'S GOVERNMENT CREATED THE PROBLEM SO THEY CAN RECEIVE MORE GRANTS AND MORE FEDERAL FUNDS, BUT ONLY TO STEAL IT FROM THE COMMUNITY, TO STEAL IT FROM THE RECIPIENTS AND FROM THE LANDLORDS WHO PARTICIPATE IN THE SECTION 8 HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER PROGRAM.

THIS FRAUD SCHEME TO STEAL FROM A FEDERAL PROGRAM IS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §666 (DOJ CRM 1002), AND YET HAWAII GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS, THE U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL, AND THE STATE OF HAWAII ATTORNEY GENERAL, REFUSE TO TERMINATE OR PRESS CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST HAKIM OUANSAFI, ANTON KRUCKY, AND ADAM ROVERSI, FOR FRAUD

The FRAUD CHARTS attached to the website proves:

HAKIM OUANSAFI stole \$110,922,769

Director of the PHA: <u>HAWAII PUBLIC HOUSING AUTHORITY</u> stole \$110,922,769 (since January 2015) from the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program and he continues to steal \pm \$1,000,000 every month.

ANTON KRUCKY stole \$10,942,886

Director of the PHA: <u>CITY OF COUNTY OF HONOLULU</u> stole \$10,942,886 (in just one year, Jan – Oct 2024), and he continues to steal \pm \$1,000,000 every month (approximately a total of \$109,428,860 since Jan 2015).

ADAM ROVERSI stole \$2,697,658

Director of the PHA: <u>KAUAI COUNTY HOUSING AGENCY</u> stole \$2,697,658 (in just one year, Jan – Oct 2024), and he continues to steal \pm \$270,000 every month (approximately a total of \$26,976,580 since Jan 2015).

FRAUD CHART ~ PHA: HAWAII PUBLIC HOUSING AUTHORITY

(This 'Fraud Chart' proves fraud, implemented by Hakim Ouansafi, Executive Director, Hawaii Public Housing Authority)

THIS CHART PROVES THAT HAKIM OUANSAFI, THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE HAWAII PUBLIC HOUSING AUTHORITY, STOLE \$110,922,769 FROM THE SECTION 8 HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER PROGRAM, AND HE CONTINUES TO STEAL ON AVERAGE ±\$1M EACH AND EVERY MONTH (in violation of 18 U.S.C. §666, DOJ CRM 1002).

THIS CHART PROVES THAT HAKIM OUANSAFI LIED TO HUD, LIED TO THE HPHA BOARD OF DIRECTORS IN HIS 'EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT', LIED TO STATE AUDITORS, AND ALTERED THE HPHA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN ORDER TO HIDE THE FACT THAT HE IS STEALING FROM THE SECTION 8 PROGRAM. THE FACTS IN THIS CHART PROVE HAKIM OUANSAFI LIED WHEN HE CLAIMED ±100% OF THE S8 SUBSIDY WAS USED TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% OF THE S8 VOUCHERS. REMEMBER, VOUCHERS BELONG TO HUD, NOT TO HPHA, SO HUD KNOWS EXACTLY HOW MANY VOUCHERS ARE ISSUED TO THE PUBLIC. NOTE: I CREATED THIS CHART, BUT I DID NOT CREATE THE FIGURES, EXTRACTED FROM HUD & HPHA.

HUD (FIGURE	S PER HUD/PIH I TOTAL VOUCHERS HUD ISSUED TO HPHA	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS	VES FOR PHA HAA TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY HPHA LIED TO HUD	HORITY' (HPHA) - SECTION TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY HUD ACTUALLY PAID TO HPHA	8 VOUCHERS ONLY) TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED TO HUD CLAIMING IT USED ±100% OF SUBSIDY	HPHA (PER HPHA FINANCIAL AT TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED = TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN
10.2024	4,341	3,341	76.96%	100.88%	\$4,721,547	\$4,763,203	(EXEC DIR REPT DELETED)	23.04%
			100.88% of	subsidy/budget u	ised to issue only 7	6.96% of vouchers	8	(\$1,097,441)
09.2024	4,319	3,377	78.19%	96.51%	\$4,747,360	\$4,581,471	\$5,222,440 / 3,373	21.81%
			96.51% of t	oudget used to issu	ue only 78.19% of	vouchers		(\$999,218)
08.2024	4,319	3,377	78.19%	97.92%	\$4,442,962	\$4,673,452	(BOARD MTG CANCELLED)	21.81%
			97.92% of h	oudget used to issu	ue only 78.19% of	vouchers		(\$1,019,279)
07.31.24	4,319	3,386	78.40%	98.45%	\$4,772,962	\$4,699,060	\$5,162,951 / 3,414	21.60%
			98.45% of h	oudget used to issu	ue only 78.40% of	vouchers		(\$1,014,996)
06.30.24	4,319	3,392	78.54%	100.68%	\$4,772,962	\$4,805,379	\$5,661,703 / 3,442	21.46%
06.30.24	HUD ANNUAL	PLAN	100.68% of	budget used to iss	sue only 78.54% of \$57,039,499	vouchers	\$61,741,016	(\$1,031,234)
05.31.24	4,319	3,386	79.69%	102.07%	\$4,784,161	\$4,883,142	\$4,865,733 / 3,442	20.31%
			102.07% of	budget used to is:	sue only 79.69% of	vouchers		(\$991,766)
04.30.24	4,319	3,392	80.04%	104.01%	\$4,772,962	\$4,964,538	\$5,171,175 / 3,457	19.96%
			104.01% of	budget used to is:	sue only 80.04% of		MANAGE ON A	(\$990,921)

TOTAL OF THIS PAGE ONLY = \$7,144,855

HUD (FIGURE				AII PUBLIC HOUSING AUTH			HPHA (PER HPHA FINANCIAL AU	
	TOTAL VOUCHERS HUD ISSUED	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS HUD CONFIRMS	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY HPHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED TO HUD CLAIMING IT HEED	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED = TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN
MONTH/YEAR	TO HPHA	HPHA USED	HPHA USED	TO HIDE FRAUD	HUD ACTUALLY PAID TO HPHA	CLAIMING IT USED ±100% OF SUBSIDY	IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	
03.31.24	4,319	3,395	78.61%	103.51%	\$4,782,859	\$4,950,895	\$4,453,486 / 3,476	21.39%
			103.51% of	budget used to iss	sue only 78.61% of	vouchers		(\$1,058,996)
02.29.24	4,319	3,397	78.65%	102.46%	\$4,782,859	\$4,900,649		21.35%
			102.46% of	budget used to iss	sue only 78.65% of	vouchers		(\$1,046,288)
01.31.24	4,319	3,401	78.75%	101.78%	\$4,792,046	\$4,877,559	\$4,987,708 / 3,518	21.25%
			101.78% of	budget used to iss	sue only 78.75% of	vouchers		(\$1,036,481)
12.31.23	4,321	3,521	81.49%	97.38%	\$4,779,483	\$4,654,048	\$4,851,747 / 3,521	18.51%
			97.38% of b	oudget used to issu	ue only 81.49% of v	vouchers		(\$861.464)
11.30.23	4,321	3,527	81.62%	98.35%	\$4,779,483	\$4,700,666	\$4,775,679 / 3,515	18.38%
			98.35% of b	oudget used to issu	ue only 81.62% of v	vouchers		(\$863,982)
10.31.23	4,311	3,522	81.70%	100.60%	\$4,770,195	\$4,799,029	\$4,708,330 / 3,523	18.30%
			100.60% of	budget used to iss	sue only 81.70% of	vouchers		(\$878,222)
09.30.23	4,268	3,514	82.33%	100.17%	\$4,750,418	\$4,758,458	\$4,843,974 / 3,526	17.67%
			100.17% of	budget used to iss	sue only 82.33% of	vouchers		(\$840,819)
08.31.23	4,248	3,515	82.74%	100.64%	\$4,724,816	\$4,754,983	\$4,765,532 / 3,528	17.26%
			100.64% of	budget used to iss	sue only 82.74% of	vouchers		(\$820,710)
07.31.23	4,248	3,515	82.74%	100.99%	\$4,742,816	\$4,771,388	\$4,403,154 / 3,529	17.26%
			100.99% of	budget used to iss	sue only 82.74% of	vouchers		(\$823,541)

TOTAL OF THIS PAGE ONLY = \$8,230,503

HUD (FIGURES				II PUBLIC HOUSING AUTH			HPHA (PER HPHA FINANCIAL AU			
	TOTAL VOUCHERS	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY HPHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED =		
MONTH/YEAR	HUD ISSUED TO HPHA	HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	TO HIDE FRAUD	HUD ACTUALLY PAID TO HPHA	CLAIMING IT USED ±100% OF SUBSIDY	IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN FROM THE S8 PROGRAM		
06.30.23	4,248	3,526	83.00%	97.42%	\$4,724,816	\$4,602,981	\$4,617,309 / 3,527	17.00%		
			97.42% of b	oudget used to issu	ue only 83.00% of v	vouchers		(\$782,506)		
06.30.23	YTD				\$64,969,302		\$57,109,283			
05.31.23	4,248	3,521	82.89%	96.97%	\$4,724,816	\$4,581,858	\$4,536,719 / 3,528	17.11%		
			96.97% of b	96.97% of budget used to issue only 82.89% of vouchers (\$783,955)						
04.30.23	4,248	3,501	82.42%	95.62%	\$4,724,816	\$4,517,780	\$4,403,682 / 3,514	17.58%		
			95.62% of b	oudget used to issu	ue only 82.42% of v	vouchers		(\$794,225)		
03.31.23	4,240	3,497	82.48%	95.55%	\$4,714,919	\$4,505,074	\$4,659,581 / 3,525	17.52%		
			95.55% of b	oudget used to issu	ue only 82.48% of v	ouchers		(\$789,288)		
02.28.23	4,240	3,498	82.50%	95.60%	\$4,714,919	\$4,507,374	\$4,946,694 / 4,093	17.50%		
			95.60% of b	oudget used to issu	ie only 82.50% of v	ouchers		(\$788,790)		
01.31.23	4,230	3,493	82.58%	95.93%	\$4,705,732	\$4,514,040	\$4,273,230 / 3,468	17.42%		
			95.93% of b	oudget used to issu	ie only 82.58% of v	ouchers		(\$786,345)		
12.31.22	4,229	3,529	83.45%	133.40%	\$4,516,282	\$6,024,659	\$3,630,811 / 3,542	16.55%		
			133.40% of budget used to issue only 83.45% of vouchers (\$997,081)							
11.30.22	4,229	3,524	83.33%	104.85%	\$4,330,802	\$4,540,804	\$4,545,621 / 3,537	16.67%		
			104.85% of	budget used to iss	sue only 83.33% of	vouchers		(\$756,952)		

MONTH/YEAR	TOTAL VOUCHERS HUD ISSUED TO HPHA	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY HPHA LIED TO HUD	IORITY' (HPHA) - SECTION TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY HUD ACTUALLY PAID TO HPHA	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED TO HUD CLAIMING IT USED ±100% OF SUBSIDY	HPHA (PER HPHA FINANCIAL AU TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED = TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN		
10.31.22	4,229	3,520	83.23%	98.27%	\$4,330,802	\$4,255,915		16.77%		
			98.27% of t	98.27% of budget used to issue only 83.23% of vouchers						
09.30.22	4,201	3,520	83.79%	106.29%	\$4,295,534	\$4,565,794	\$4,575,609 / 3,534	16.21%		
			106.29% of	06.29% of budget used to issue only 83.79% of vouchers (
08.31.22	4,201	3,517	83.72%	97.12%	\$4,295,534	\$4,171,866	\$4,187,409 / 3,509	16.28%		
			97.12% of t	oudget used to issu	ue only 83.72% of	vouchers		(\$679,179)		
07.31.22	4,201	3,519	83.77%	94.45%	\$4,296,829	\$4,058,262		16.23%		
			94.45% of t	oudget used to issu	ue only 83.77% of	vouchers		(\$658,655)		
06.30.22	4,201	3,516	83.69%	97.55%	\$4,296,829	\$4,191,632	\$4,232,510 / 3,524	16.31%		
			97.55% of t	oudget used to issu	ue only 83.69% of	vouchers		(\$683,655)		
05.31.22	4,201	3,517	83.72%	93.76%	\$4,296,829	\$4,028,864	\$3,754,794 / 3,505	16.28%		
			93.76% of t	oudget used to issu	ue only 83.72% of	vouchers		(\$655,899)		
04.30.22	4,201	3,518	83.74%	91.57%	\$4,405,258	\$4,033,839	\$4,160,279 / 3,515	16.26%		
			91.57% of t	oudget used to issu	ue only 83.74% of	vouchers		(\$655,902)		
03.31.22	4,201	3,515	83.67%	94.25%	\$4,298,123	\$4,050,772	\$4,662,930 / 3,525	16.33%		
			94.25% of budget used to issue only 83.67% of vouchers (\$661,491)							
02.28.22	4,201	3,501	83.34%	94.79%	\$4,298,123	\$4,074,367	\$3,922,375 / 3,512	16.66%		
			94.79% of b	oudget used to issu	ue only 83.34% of	vouchers		(\$678,789)		

TOTAL OF THIS PAGE ONLY = \$6,127,401

HUD (FIGURE					HORITY' (HPHA) - SECTION		HPHA (PER HPHA FINANCIAL AU	JDITS & BOARD MEETINGS)		
	TOTAL VOUCHERS	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY HPHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED =		
MONTH/YEAR	HUD ISSUED TO HPHA	HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	TO HIDE FRAUD	HUD ACTUALLY PAID TO HPHA	CLAIMING IT USED ±100% OF SUBSIDY	IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN FROM THE S8 PROGRAM		
01.31.22	4,201	3,508	83.50%	95.34%	\$4,299,418	\$4,099,244	\$3,824,688 / 3,433	16.50%		
			95.34% of h	oudget used to issu	ue only 83.50% of	vouchers		(\$676,375)		
12.31.21	3,854	3,212	83.34%	47.00%	\$7,927,909	\$3,725,851		16.66%		
			47.00% of t	7.00% of budget used to issue only 83.34% of vouchers (\$620,726)						
11.30.21	3,854	3,219	83.52%	119.07%	\$3,143,499	\$3,743,025	\$3,751,257 / 3,233	16.48%		
			119.07% of	budget used to is:	sue only 83.52% of	vouchers		(\$616,850)		
10.31.21	3,854	3,223	83.63%	119.20%	\$3,144,722	\$3,748,537	\$3,761,876 / 3,231	16.37%		
			119.20% of	budget used to is:	sue only 83.63% of	vouchers		(\$613,635)		
09.30.21	3,854	3,232	83.86%	114.83%	\$3,223,754	\$3,701,784	\$3,705,292 / 3,252	16.14%		
			114.83% of	budget used to is:	sue only 83.86% of	vouchers		(\$597,467)		
08.31.21	3,854	3,229	83.78%	122.44%	\$3,144,722	\$3,850,409	\$3,873,204 / 3,257	16.22%		
			122.44% of	budget used to is:	sue only 83.78% of	vouchers		(\$624,536)		
07.31.21	3,853	3,233	83.91%	120.44%	\$3,143,427	\$3,785,797		16.09%		
			120.44% of budget used to issue only 83.91% of vouchers (\$609,134)							
06.30.21	3,853	3,245	84.22%	117.31%	\$3,143,427	\$3,687,684	\$3,790,144 / 3,229	15.78%		
			117.31% of	budget used to is:	sue only 84.22% of	vouchers		(\$581,916)		
06.30.21	YTD				\$42,926,572		\$41,019,011			

TOTAL OF THIS PAGE ONLY = \$4,940,639

HUD (FIGURE					IORITY' (HPHA) - SECTION :		HPHA (PER HPHA FINANCIAL AU	
	TOTAL VOUCHERS HUD ISSUED	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS HUD CONFIRMS	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY HPHA LIED TO HUD PEROPTED TO	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY HUD ACTUALLY	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED TO HUD CLAIMING IT USED	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED = TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN
MONTH/YEAR		HPHA USED	HPHA USED	TO HIDE FRAUD	PAID TO HPHA	±100% OF SUBSIDY	TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	
05.31.21	3,853	3,235	83.96%	117.44%	\$3,143,427	\$3,691,644	\$3,660,955 / 3,243	16.04%
			117.44% of	budget used to iss	sue only 83.96% of	vouchers		(\$592,139)
04.30.21	3,852	3,231	83.88%	117.33%	\$3,143,356	\$3,688,093	\$3,596,595 / 3,251	16.12%
			117.33% of	budget used to iss	sue only 83.88% of	vouchers		(\$594,520)
03.31.21	3,852	3,227	83.77%	118.84%	\$3,143,356	\$3,735,667	\$3,855,644 / 3,203	16.23%
			118.84% of	budget used to iss	sue only 83.77% of	vouchers		(\$606,298)
02.28.21	3,852	3,205	83.20%	118.54%	\$3,144,470	\$3,727,141	\$3,155,584 / 2,513	16.80%
			118.53% of	budget used to iss	sue only 83.20% of	vouchers		(\$626,159)
01.31.21	3,851	3,044	79.04%	115.49%	\$3,166,772	\$3,657,151	\$2,874,910 / 2,414	20.96%
			115.49% of	budget used to iss	sue only 79.04% of	vouchers		(\$766,538)
12.31.20	3,851	2,416	62.74%	99.17%	\$2,961,739	\$2,937,086	\$2,937,086 / 2,414	37.26%
			99.17% of b	oudget used to issu	ae only 62.74% of v	vouchers		(\$1,094,358)
11.30.20	3,851	2,417	62.76%	75.57%	\$3,992.348	\$3,016,979	\$3,020,103 / 2,415	37.24%
			75.57% of b	oudget used to issu	ae only 62.76% of v	vouchers		(\$1,123,522)
10.31.20	3,850	2,414	62.70%	102.67%	\$2,960,516	\$3,039,654		37.30%
			102.67% of	(\$1,133,790)				
09.30.20	3,850	2,414	62.70%	61.86%	\$4,960,516	\$3,068,734	\$3,068,626 / 2,420	37.30%
			61.86% of t	oudget used to issu	ae only 62.70% of v	vouchers		(\$1,144,637)
						TOTAL OF	THIS PAGE ONLY =	\$7,681,961

	TOTAL VOUCHERS HUD ISSUED	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS HUD CONFIRMS	TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS HUD CONFIRMS	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY HPHA LIED TO HUD REPORTED TO	ORITY' (HPHA) - SECTION TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY HUD ACTUALLY	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED TO HUD CLAIMING IT USED	HPHA (PER HPHA FINANCIAL AU TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED = TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN		
MONTH/YEAR	то нрна	HPHA USED	HPHA USED	TO HIDE FRAUD	PAID TO HPHA	±100% OF SUBSIDY	TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	FROM THE S8 PROGRAM		
08.31.20	3,850	2,408	62.55%	103.60%	\$2,960,516	\$3,067,238	\$2,998,438 / 2,424	37.45%		
			103.60% of	03.60% of budget used to issue only 62.55% of vouchers						
07.31.20	3,850	2,409	62.57%	102.56%	\$2,960,516	\$3,036,446	\$3,035,244 / 2,344	37.43%		
			102.56% of	02.56% of budget used to issue only 62.57% of vouchers						
06.30.20	3,850	2,428	63.06%	104.83%	\$2,960,516	\$3,103,575	\$3,109,426 / 2,370	36.94%		
			104.83% of	budget used to iss	sue only 63.06% of	f vouchers		(\$1,146,460)		
06.30.20	YTD				\$38,358,008		\$35,894,505			
05.31.20	3,850	2,410	62.60%	104.17%	\$2,961,222	\$3,084,678	\$3,090,242 / 2,354	37.40%		
			104.17% of	budget used to iss	sue only 62.60% of	f vouchers		(\$1,153,669)		
04.30.20	3,849	2,411	62.64%	102.50%	\$2,959,293	\$3,033,422	\$3,030,733 / 2,358	37.36%		
			102.50% of	budget used to iss	sue only 62.64% of	f vouchers		(\$1,133,286)		
03.31.20	3,849	2,403	62.43%	97.87%	\$2,959,293	\$2,896,156		37.57%		
			97.87% of b	oudget used to issu	ue only 62.43% of	vouchers		(\$1,088,085)		
02.29.20	3,848	2,393	62.19%	99.30%	\$2,958,179	\$2,937,364	\$2,938,087 / 2,360	37.81%		
			99.30% of b	99.30% of budget used to issue only 62.19% of vouchers						
01.31.20	3,820	2,404	62.93%	97.82%	\$2,967,625	\$2,902,836	\$2,909,063 / 2,366	37.07%		
			97.82% of b	oudget used to issu	ue only 62.93% of	vouchers		(\$1,076,081)		
						TOTAL OF	THIS PAGE ONLY =	\$8,993,419		

HUD (FIGURE					IORITY' (HPHA) - SECTION		HPHA (PER HPHA FINANCIAL AU			
MONTH/YEAR	TOTAL VOUCHERS HUD ISSUED TO HPHA	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY HPHA LIED TO HUD REPORTED TO TO HIDE FRAUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY HUD ACTUALLY PAID TO HPHA	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED TO HUD CLAIMING IT USED ±100% OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED = TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN FROM THE S8 PROGRAM		
-										
12.31.19	3,820	2,408	63.04%	108.64%	\$2,682,012	\$2,913,761	\$2,941,842 / 2,383	36.96%		
			108.64% of	budget used to iss	sue only 63.04% of	f vouchers		(\$1,076,926)		
11.30.19	3,820	2,413	63.17%	109.63%	\$2,682,012	\$2,940,218	\$2,984,679 / 2,479	36.83%		
			109.63% of	09.63% of budget used to issue only 63.17% of vouchers						
10.31.19	3,820	2,390	62.57%	109.82%	\$2,682,012	\$2,945,298	\$2,952,630 / 2,394	37.43%		
			109.82% of	budget used to iss	sue only 62.57% of	vouchers		(\$1,102,425)		
09.30.19	3,820	2,379	62.28%	107.92%	\$2,682,012	\$2,894,388	\$2,884,188 / 2,376	37.72%		
			107.92% of	budget used to iss	sue only 62.28% of	f vouchers		(\$1,091,763)		
08.31.19	3,820	2,381	62.33%	105.78%	\$2,682,012	\$2,837,151	\$2,872,504 / 2,370	37.67%		
			105.78% of	budget used to iss	sue only 62.33% of	fvouchers		(\$1,068,754)		
07.31.19	3,820	2,389	62.54%	110.71%	\$2,682,012	\$2,969,266	\$2,966,034 / 2,415	37.46%		
			110.71% of	budget used to iss	sue only 62.54% of	fvouchers		(\$1,112,287)		
06.30.19	3,820	2,405	62.96%	104.14%	\$2,682,012	\$2,792,960	\$2,896,385 / 2,421	37.04%		
			104.14% of	budget used to iss	sue only 62.96% of	fvouchers		(\$1,034,512)		
06.30.19	YTD				\$35,152,564		\$33,396,488			
05.31.19	3,820	2,397	62.75%	106.56%	\$2,682,012	\$2,857,890	\$2,938,981 / 2,452	37.25%		
			106.56% of	budget used to iss	sue only 62.75% of	f vouchers		(\$1,064,564)		
						TOTAL OF	THIS PAGE ONLY =	\$8,634,113		

HUD (FIGURE					ORITY' (HPHA) - SECTION 8		HPHA (PER HPHA FINANCIAL AU		
	TOTAL VOUCHERS	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY HPHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED =	
MONTH/YEAR	HUD ISSUED TO HPHA	HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	TO HIDE FRAUD	HUD ACTUALLY PAID TO HPHA	CLAIMING IT USED ±100% OF SUBSIDY	IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN FROM THE S8 PROGRAM	
04.30.19	3,820	2,392	62.62%	105.50%	\$2,682,012	\$2,829,560	\$2,848,385 / 2,434	37.38%	
			105.50% of	budget used to iss	sue only 62.62% of	vouchers		(\$1,057,689)	
03.31.19	3,820	2,375	62.17%	104.40%	\$2,682,012	\$2,799,906	\$2,851,477 / 2,422	37.83% =	
			104.40% of	04.40% of budget used to issue only 62.17% of vouchers					
02.28.19	3,820	2,363	61.86%	103.61%	\$2,682,012	\$2,778,792	\$2,784,325 / 2,326	38.14% =	
			103.61% of	budget used to iss	sue only 61.86% of	vouchers		(\$1,059,831)	
01.31.19	3,785	2,351	62.11%	109.96%	\$2,648,969	\$2,912,769	\$2,782,260 / 2,357	37.89% =	
			109.96% of	budget used to iss	sue only 62.11% of	vouchers		(\$1,103,648)	
12.31.18	3,785	2,355	62.22%	94.36%	\$2,805,755	\$2,647,647	\$2,747,112 / 2,292	37.78% =	
			94.36% of t	oudget used to issu	ae only 62.22% of v	vouchers		(\$1,000,281)	
11.30.18	3,785	2,375	62.75%	95.00%	\$2,805,755	\$2,665,572	(HPHA HAS BEGUN	37.25% =	
			95.00% of t	oudget used to issu	ue only 62.75% of v	vouchers	DELETING THE	(\$992,925)	
10.31.18	3,785	2,335	61.69%	95.07 %	\$2,805,755	\$2,667,340	BOARD MTG NOTES,	38.31% =	
			95.07% of t	oudget used to issu	ae only 61.69% of v	vouchers	ALL AUDITS AND	(\$1,021,857)	
09.30.18	3,785	2,274	60.08%	91.22%	\$2,805,755	\$2,559,297	FINANCIAL STMTS	39.92% =	
			91.22% of t	oudget used to issu	ae only 60.08% of v	vouchers	AND HAP FIGURES)	(\$1,021,671)	
						TOTAL OF	THIS PAGE ONLY =	\$8,317,106	

HUD (FIGURES					ORITY' (HPHA) - SECTION 8		HPHA (PER HPHA FINANCIAL AU	
	TOTAL VOUCHERS	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY HPHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED =
MONTH/YEAR	HUD ISSUED TO HPHA	HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	REPORTED TO TO HIDE FRAUD	HUD ACTUALLY PAID TO HPHA	CLAIMING IT USED ±100% OF SUBSIDY	IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN FROM THE S8 PROGRAM
08.31.18	3,785	2,286	60.40%	92.22%	\$2,805,755	\$2,587,505		39.60% =
			92.22% of b	oudget used to issu	ne only 60.40% of v	vouchers		(\$1,024,651)
07.31.18	3,785	2,298	60.17%	96.44 %	\$2,805,755	\$2,705,959		39.83% =
			96.44% of t	oudget used to issu	ue only 60.17% of v	ouchers		(\$1,077,783)
06.30.18	3,785	2,315	61.16%	87.32%	\$2,830,174	\$2,471,266		38.84% =
			87.32% of b	oudget used to issu	ue only 61.16% of v	ouchers		(\$959,839)
05.31.18	3,785	2,323	61.37%	91.63%	\$2,805,755	\$2,570,811		38.63% =
			91.63% of b	oudget used to issu	te only 61.37% of v	ouchers		(\$993,104)
04.30.18	3,785	2,319	61.27%	91.79%	\$2,805,755	\$2,575,525		38.73% =
			91.79% of b	oudget used to issu	${ m ie}$ only 61.27% of ${ m v}$	ouchers		(\$997,500)
03.31.18	3,785	2,335	61.69%	92.47%	\$2,805,755	\$2,594,409		38.31% =
			92.47% of t	oudget used to issu	ie only 61.69% of v	vouchers		(\$993,918)
02.28.18	3,785	2,347	62.01%	92.64%	\$2,805,755	\$2,599,270		37.99% =
			92.64% of b	oudget used to issu	ue only 62.01% of v	ouchers		(\$987,462)
01.31.18	3,785	2,348	62.03%	92.99%	\$2,821,513	\$2,623,618		37.97% =
			92.99% of t	oudget used to issu	ne only 62.03% of v	vouchers		(\$996,187)
12.31.17	3,785	2,341	61.85%	110.61%	\$2,365,569	\$2,616,547		38.15% =
			110.61% of	budget used to iss	sue only 61.85% of	vouchers		(\$998,212)

HUD (FIGURE					HORITY' (HPHA) - SECTION		HPHA (PER HPHA FINANCIAL AU	
	TOTAL VOUCHERS HUD ISSUED	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS HUD CONFIRMS	TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS S HUD CONFIRMS	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY HPHA LIED TO HUD REPORTED TO	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY HUD ACTUALLY	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED TO HUD CLAIMING IT USED	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED = TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN
MONTH/YEAR	то нрна	HPHA USED	HPHA USED	TO HIDE FRAUD	PAID TO HPHA	±100% OF SUBSIDY	TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	FROM THE S8 PROGRAM
11.30.17	3,785	2,343	61.90%	110.91%	\$2,365,569	\$2,623,593		38.10% =
			110.91% of	budget used to is	sue only 61.90% of	f vouchers		(\$999,588)
10.31.17	3,785	2,356	62.25%	106.83%	\$2,454,813	\$2,622,478		37.75% =
			106.83% of	budget used to is	sue only 62.26% of	f vouchers		(\$989,985)
09.30.17	3,785	2,363	62.43%	110.93%	\$2,365,569	\$2,624,012		37.57% =
			110.93% of	budget used to is	sue only 62.43% of	f vouchers		(\$985,841)
08.31.17	3,785	2,339	61.80%	107.82%	\$2,410,485	\$2,599,039		38.20% =
			107.82% of	budget used to is	sue only 61.80% of	f vouchers		(\$992,832)
07.31.17	3,785	2,294	60.61%	110.43%	\$2,410,485	\$2,661,951		39.39% =
			110.43% of	budget used to is	sue only 60.61% of	f vouchers		(\$1,048,542)
06.30.17	3,785	2,294	60.61%	101.95%	\$2,410,485	\$2,457,568		39.39% =
			101.95% of	budget used to is	sue only 60.61% of	f vouchers		(\$968,036)
05.31.17	3,785	2,309	61.00%	103.52%	\$2,410,485	\$2,495,352		39.00% =
			103.52% of	budget used to is	sue only 61.00% of	f vouchers		(\$973,187)
06.30.17	3,785	2,294	60.61%	101.95%	\$2,410,485	\$2,457,568		39.39% =
			101.95% of	budget used to is	sue only 60.61% of	f vouchers		(\$968,036)

TOTAL OF THIS PAGE ONLY = \$7,926,047

HUD (FIGURE	S PER HUD/PIH E	BUDGET & RESERV	ES FOR PHA HAA	II PUBLIC HOUSING AUTH	ORITY' (HPHA) - SECTION 8	S VOUCHERS ONLY)	HPHA (PER HPHA FINANCIAL AL	JDITS & BOARD MEETINGS)
	TOTAL VOUCHERS	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY HPHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED =
MONTH/YEAR	HUD ISSUED TO HPHA	HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	REPORTED TO TO HIDE FRAUD	HUD ACTUALLY PAID TO HPHA	CLAIMING IT USED ±100% OF SUBSIDY	IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN FROM THE S8 PROGRAM
06.30.17	YTD				\$32,070,826		\$29,658,116	
05.31.17	3,785	2,309	61.00%	103.52%	\$2,410,485	\$2,495,352		39.00% =
			103.52% of	budget used to iss	sue only 61.00% of	vouchers		(\$973,187)
04.30.17	3,785	2,313	61.11%	104.47%	\$2,410,485	\$2,518,316		38.89% =
			104.47% of	budget used to iss	sue only 61.11% of	vouchers		(\$979,373)
03.31.17	3,785	2,298	60.71%	104.66%	\$2,410,485	\$2,522,717		39.29% =
			104.66% of	budget used to iss	sue only 60.71% of	vouchers		(\$991,175)
02.28.17	3,785	2,287	60.42%	103.37%	\$2,410,485	\$2,491,800		39.58% =
			103.37% of	budget used to iss	sue only 60.42% of	vouchers		(\$986,254)
01.31.17	3,765	2,256	59.92%	102.00%	\$2,394,727	\$2,442,589		40.08% =
			102.00% of	budget used to iss	sue only 59.95% of	vouchers		(\$978,989)
12.31.16	3,765	2,269	60.27%	106.79%	\$2,216,742	\$2,367,201		39.73% =
			106.79% of	budget used to iss	sue only 60.27% of	vouchers		(\$940,488)
11.30.16	3,765	2,299	61.06%	108.93%	\$2,216,742	\$2,414,761		38.94% =
			108.93% of	budget used to iss	sue only 61.06% of	vouchers		(\$940,307)

TOTAL OF THIS PAGE ONLY = \$6,789,773

HUD (FIGURE		BUDGET & RESER		AII PUBLIC HOUSING AUTH			HPHA (PER HPHA FINANCIAL AU	JDITS & BOARD MEETINGS)
	TOTAL VOUCHERS HUD ISSUED	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS B HUD CONFIRMS	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY HPHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY HUD ACTUALLY	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED TO HUD CLAIMING IT USED	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED = TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN
MONTH/YEAR	TO HPHA	HPHA USED	HPHA USED	TO HIDE FRAUD	PAID TO HPHA	±100% OF SUBSIDY	TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	
10.31.16	3,765	2,261	60.05%	107.77%	\$2,216,742	\$2,389,011		39.95% =
			107.77% of	budget used to iss	sue only 60.05% of	f vouchers		(\$954,409)
09.30.16	3,765	2,252	59.81%	107.99%	\$2,216,742	\$2,393,815		40.19% =
			107.99% of	budget used to iss	sue only 59.81% of	f vouchers		(\$962,074)
08.31.16	3,708	2,250	60.68%	109.19%	\$2,171,826	\$2,371,478		39.32% =
			109.19% of	budget used to iss	sue only 60.68% of		(\$932,465)	
07.31.16	3,708	2,244	60.52%	108.74%	\$2,194,712	\$2,386,543		39.48% =
			108.74% of	budget used to iss	sue only 60.52% of	f vouchers		(\$942,207)
06.30 16	3,708	2,229	60.11%	107.16%	\$2,194,712	\$2,351,782		39.89% =
			107.16% of	budget used to iss	sue only 60.11% of	vouchers		(\$938,125)
06.30.16	YTD				\$29,964,655		\$27,701,265	
05.31.16	3,708	2,219	59.84%	106.74%	\$2,194,712	\$2,342,657		40.16% =
			106.74% of	budget used to iss	sue only 59.84% of	f vouchers		(\$940,811)
04.30.16	3,708	2,215	59.74%	105.99%	\$2,194,712	\$2,326,072		40.26% =
			105.99% of	budget used to iss	sue only 59.74% of	f vouchers		(\$936,476)
03.31.16	3,708	2,213	59.68%	104.26%	\$2,194,712	\$2,288,099		40.32% =
			104.26% of	budget used to iss	sue only 59.68% of	f vouchers		(\$922,561)

TOTAL OF THIS PAGE ONLY = \$7,529128

HUD (FIGURE	ES PER HUD/PIH	BUDGET & RESER	VES FOR PHA HA	AII PUBLIC HOUSING AUTH	HORITY' (HPHA) - SECTION	8 VOUCHERS ONLY)	HPHA (PER HPHA FINANCIAL AU	JDITS & BOARD MEETINGS)
MONTH/YEAR	TOTAL VOUCHERS HUD ISSUED TO HPHA	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY HPHA LIED TO HUD REPORTED TO TO HIDE FRAUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY HUD ACTUALLY PAID TO HPHA	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED TO HUD CLAIMING IT USED ±100% OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED = TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN FROM THE S8 PROGRAM
02.29.16	3,708	2,219	59.84%	104.96%	\$2,194,712	\$2,303,614		40.16% =
02.29.10	5,700	2,219			, ,	, ,		
					sue only 59.84% o			(\$925,131)
01.31.16	3,708	2,217	59.79%	106.40%	\$2,194,712	\$2,335,150		40.21% =
			106.40% of	budget used to iss	sue only 59.79% of	f vouchers		(\$938,963)
12.31.15	3,708	2,159	58.23%	107.28%	\$2,079,015	\$2,230,371		41.77% =
			107.28% of	budget used to iss	sue only 58.23% o		(\$931,625)	
11.30.15	3,708	2,167	58.44%	104.78%	\$2,071,432	\$2,168,116		41.56% =
			104.78% of	budget used to is:	sue only 58.44% o		(\$901,069)	
10.31.15	3,708	2,160	58.25%	112.36%	\$2,071,432	\$2,327,518		41.75% =
			112.36% of	budget used to is:	sue only 58.25% o	f vouchers		(\$971,738)
09.30.15	3,708	2,156	58.14%	102.43%	\$2,127,255	\$2,179,043		41.86% =
			102.43% of	budget used to is:	sue only 58.14% o	f vouchers		(\$912,147)
08.31.15	3,708	2,119	57.15%	104.79%	\$2,141,945	\$2,244,584		42.85% =
			104.79% of	budget used to is:	sue only 57.15% of	f vouchers		(\$961,804)
07.31.15	3,678	2,116	57.53%	102.66%	\$2,143,521	\$2,200,578		42.47% =
			102.66% of	budget used to iss	sue only 57.53% of	f vouchers		(\$934,585)
06.30.15	3,678	2,098	57.04%	101.15%	\$2,119,059	\$2,143,496		42.96% =
			101.15% of	budget used to iss	sue only 57.04% of	f vouchers		(\$920,845)
06.30.15	YTD				\$25,486,975		\$24,598,735	

HUD (FIGURES					ORITY' (HPHA) - SECTION 8		HPHA (PER HPHA FINANCIAL AU			
	TOTAL VOUCHERS	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY HPHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET HPHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED =		
MONTH/YEAR	HUD ISSUED TO HPHA	HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	HUD CONFIRMS HPHA USED	REPORTED TO TO HIDE FRAUD	HUD ACTUALLY PAID TO HPHA	CLAIMING IT USED ±100% OF SUBSIDY	IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN FROM THE S8 PROGRAM		
			III IIA COLD			_	10 ISSUE ONET 100% VOCCHERS	TROM THE BUTROURIM		
05.31.15	3,678	2,073	56.36%	101.83%	\$2,119,059	\$2,157,804		43.64% =		
			101.83% of	1.83% of budget used to issue only 56.36% of vouchers						
04.30.15	3,678	2,027	55.11%	98.60%	\$2,119,059	\$2,089,317		44.89% =		
			98.60% of b	60% of budget used to issue only 55.11% of vouchers						
03.31.15	3,678	1,956	53.18%	94.52%	\$2,119,059	\$2,002,958		46.82% =		
			94.52 of bu	dget used to issue	only 53.18% of voi	achers		(\$937,784)		
02.28.15	3,678	1,940	52.75%	93.18%	\$2,119,059	\$1,974,470		47.25% =		
			93.18% of b	oudget used to issu	ue only 52.75% of v	ouchers		(\$932,937)		
01.31.15	3,678	1,933	52.56%	94.68%	\$2,119,059	\$2,006,408		47.44% =		
			94.68% of b	oudget used to issu	ie only 52.56% of v	ouchers		(\$951,839)		

TOTAL OF THIS PAGE ONLY = \$4,702,119

GRAND TOTAL OF ALL PAGES = \$110,922,769

THIS WEBSITE PROVIDES DETAILED INFORMATION AND VARIOUS DOCUMENTS TO PROVE FRAUD, BRIBERY, RETALIATION, AND OTHER CLAIMS.

https://GovernmentCorruptionInHawaii.wordpress.com

HAKIM OUANSAFI, THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE HAWAII PUBLIC HOUSING AUTHORITY LIED WHEN HE CLAIMED HE USED 100% OF THE SUBSIDY HE RECEIVED FROM HUD TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% OF THE S8 VOUCHERS HE RECEIVED FROM HUD. I ONLY FOUND OUT ABOUT THIS FRAUD SCHEME AFTER THE HPHA APPLIED THE ILLEGAL POLICY TO USE A LOW COMPARABLE IN THE RENT REASONABLENESS DETERMINATION TO REDUCE MY PAYMENT STANDARD AND THE CONTRACT RENT, WHICH I NOW KNOW IS PART OF THE FRAUD SCHEME THAT ALLOWS HAKIM OUANSAFI TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF SUBSIDY HE PAYS TO THE SECTION 8 LANDLORDS (HAP). THE SUBSIDY NOT USED IS STOLEN.

PART B, NOTED BELOW, IS THE ILLEGAL POLICY HAKIM OUANSAFI FABRICATED AND ENFORCED AGAINST MY VOUCHER, AND AGAINST EACH AND EVERY SECTION 8 VOUCHER, BUT FOR THE PURPOSE OF INCREASING THE AMOUNT OF SUBSIDY HE CAN STEAL FROM THE SECTION 8 PROGRAM

THE FRAUD SCHEME

THE HIGHER THE SUBSIDY, AND THE LOWER THE CONTRACT RENT = INCREASES THE AMOUNT OF SUBSIDY (AKA: 'SURPLUS GRANT FUNDS') THAT IS STOLEN BY HAKIM OUANSAFI

FRAUD SCHEME:

PART A – HPHA MAXIMIZES THE AMOUNT OF SUBSIDY IT RECEIVES FROM HUD, WHICH IS CURRENTLY EQUAL TO 120% OF THE HUD FMR/PAYMENT STANDARD.

PART B – HPHA MINIMIZES THE AMOUNT OF SUBSIDY IT PAYS TO THE LANDLORDS (VIA HAP CONTRACTS) BY ENFORCING ILLEGAL POLICIES, WHICH INCLUDES, THE ILLEGAL POLICY

TO USE LOW COMPARABLES AGAINST THE CONTRACT RENT IN ALL RENT REASONABLENESS DETERMINATIONS. THIS REDUCES THE CONTRACT RENT FOR ALL SECTION 8 VOUCHERS AND INCREASES THE AMOUNT OF SUBSIDY HAKIM OUANSAFI STEALS FROM THE SECTION 8 PROGRAM.

PART C - HPHA STEALS THE SUBSIDY NOT USED, AS THIS CHART PROVES.

HAKIM OUANSAFI LIED TO HUD, LIED TO THE HPHA BOARD OF DIRECTORS, LIED TO THE STATE AUDITORS, AND ALTERED THE HPHA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN ORDER TO HIDE HIS FRAUD SCHEME, AND TO HIDE THE SUBSIDY HE IS STEALING FROM ALL THE RECIPIENTS AND LANDLORDS OF THE SECTION 8 PROGRAM.

JESSE WU, HUD/PIH DIRECTOR OF HAWAII, CONFIRMED THE ILLEGAL POLICY TO USE LOW COMPARABLES IS NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH HUD REGULATIONS. HAKIM OUANSAFI REFUSES TO COMPLY.

NOTE: THE HUD DASHBPOARD CONFIRMS THE FRAUD SCHEME HAS BEEN ADOPTED BY 3 OF THE 5 PHAS IN HAWAII:

- * Hawaii Public Housing Authority (H1901) used 100.83% subsidy to issue 78.32% vouchers (3,341 out of 4,341)
- * City and County of Honolulu (H1003) used 100.79% subsidy to issue 81.63% vouchers (3,955 out of 4,906)
- * Kauai County Housing Agency (H1005) used 102.16% subsidy to issue 73.76% (852 out of 1,178)
- * County of Hawaii (H1002) used 111.80% subsidy to issue 99% (2,029 out of 2,130)
- * County of Maui (H1004) used 104.02% subsidy to issue 99.67% (1,551 out of 1,543)

THE FOLLOWING IS A PARTIAL LIST OF RESOURCES USED TO EXTRACT HUD AND HPHA FIGURES TO CREATE THE 'FRAUD CHART':

SECTION 8 HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER PROGRAM

- S8 HAP FIGURES
- S8 SUBSIDY FIGURES
- S8 VOUCHER FIGURES

HUD FIGURES (extracted from):

- HUD 5-year Plan
- HUD Annual Plan
- HUD Monthly Plan

(found in HUD websites - to access HUD's "HCV DATA DASHBOARD", go to https://www.hud.gov/hcv)

(found in HPHA websites - to access HPHA's PLANS, go to https://hpha.hawaii.gov/plans-reports)

(found in board meeting agendas (Executive Director's Report) - to access HPHA's "BOARD MEETING AGENDA/MINUTES", go to https://hpha.hawaii.gov/meeting-packets)

HPHA FIGURES (extracted from):

• HPHA Financial Statements

(found in the HPHA websites – to access "HPHA AUDITS", to go https://hpha.hawaii.gov/plans-reports#auditors-reports) (found in board meeting agendas)

• State Auditor's Financial Statements

(found in state auditor's websites – to access "STATE AUDITS", to go https://auditor.hawaii.gov/financial-audits/)
(found in board meeting agendas – to access HPHA's "BOARD MEETING MINUTES", go to https://hpha.hawaii.gov/meeting-packets)

• Executive Director's Report

(found in board meeting agendas – to access HPHA's "EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT", go to **https://hpha.hawaii.gov/meeting-packets**)
(note: effective December 2024, the Executive Director's Report no longer provides the Section 8 figures it use to provide, but thank God I saved all the past HPHA board meeting agendas to prove Hakim Ouansafi was providing false figures to hide he was stealing funds from the S8 program)

You can verify my figures and/or create your own 'Fraud Chart' by using the above noted links, or go to the HUD website noted below, which is the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program "dashboard" that provides actual data for all PHAs in the country.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/public_indian_housing/programs/hcv/dashboard

Click on "this link" at the end of the 2nd paragraph. This will take you to the "dashboard", which is 14 pages long. On the 2nd page, click on "Hawaii", then click on "H1901 Hawaii Public Housing Authority". HUD provides an on-line tutorial and guidebook on how to use this "dashboard". If I can figure it out, you can too.

THE 'DASHBOARD' PROVIDES THE HUD FIGURES I USED IN THE FRAUD CHART, WHICH PROVES THE HPHA LIED TO HUD, LIED TO HPHA BOARD OF DIRECTORS, LIED IN HPHA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, LIED TO STATE AUDITORS, AND LIED TO THE HAWAII COMMUNITY, BY FALSELY REPORTING IT USED ±100% OF THE SUBSIDY IT RECEIVED FROM HUD TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% OF THE VOUCHERS IT RECEIVED FROM HUD. REMEMBER, THE VOUCHERS BELONG TO HUD, SO HUD KNOWS EXACTLY HOW MANY VOUCHERS WERE ISSUED TO THE HPHA, AND EXACTLY HOW MANY VOUCHERS THE HPHA ISSUED TO THE COMMUNITY.

FRAUD CHART ~ PHA: CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

(This 'Fraud Chart' proves fraud, implemented by Anton Krucky, Executive Director, City and County of Honolulu)

THIS CHART PROVES ANTON KRUCKY, THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE PHA CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU, STOLE \$10,942,886 IN 2024 FROM THE SECTION 8 HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER PROGRAM. THE HUD 'DASHBOARD' PROVES THAT THE FRAUD SCHEME GOES AS FAR BACK AS JANUARY 2015 (10 YEARS), SO IT IS ESTIMATED THAT APPROXIMATELY \$109,428,860 IN FEDERAL FUNDS HAS BEEN STOLEN FROM THE SECTION 8 PROGRAM, WHICH IS A CRIME ACCORDING TO 18 U.S.C. §666 (REFER TO DOJ CRM 1002).

THIS CHART PROVES ANTON KRUCKY LIED WHEN HE REPORTED TO HUD THAT ±100% OF THE S8 SUBSIDY HE RECEIVED FROM HUD WAS USED TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% OF THE S8 VOUCHERS. THE FALSE FIGURES WERE REPORTED BY ANTON KRUCKY TO HUD, TO THE STATE AUDITORS, AND TO ALL CITY OFFICIALS, BUT FOR THE PURPOSE OF HIDING THE FRAUD SCHEME TO STEAL FEDERAL FUNDS FROM THE SECTION 8 PROGRAM. EVEN THOUGH I CREATED THIS CHART, I DID NOT CREATE THE FIGURES, WHICH FIGURES COME DIRECTLY FROM HUD. REFER TO HUD'S 'DASHBOARD' – INSTRUCTIONS CAN BE FOUND ON MY WEBSITE: https://GovernmentCorruptionInHawaii.wordpress.com

HUD (FIGURES PER HUD/PIH BUDGET & RESERVES FOR PHA 'CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU - SECTION 8 VOUCHERS ONLY)									
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL % OF	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS	
	VOUCHERS	S8 VOUCHERS	S8 VOUCHERS S HUD CONFIRMS	PHA LIED TO HUD REPORTED TO	100% OF SUBSIDY	PHA LIED TO HUD	PHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING	NOT ISSUED =	
MONTH/YEAR	HUD ISSUED TO PHA	PHA USED	PHA USED	TO HIDE FRAUD	HUD ACTUALLY PAID TO PHA	CLAIMING IT USED ±100% OF SUBSIDY	IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN FROM THE S8 PROGRAM	
MONTH/TEAK	IOFHA	PHA USED	FHA USED	TO HIDE FRAUD	PAID TO PHA	1100% OF SUBSIDI	10 ISSUE ONLI 180% VOUCHERS	FROM THE 58 FROGRAM	
10 0004	4.006	2.055	01 620/	00.000/	ΦC 027 074	ΦF 070 000	/	10 270/	
10.2024	4,906	3,955	81.63%	98.89%	\$5,937,974	\$5,872,088	(I HAVE NOT YET	18.37%	
			98 89% of 1	oudget/subsidy us	ed to issue only 81	63% of vouchers	SEARCHED FOR THIS	(\$1,078,702)	
			JU.UJ /0 UI 1	Judget/ subsidy us	sed to issue only of	1.0070 of vouchers	SEARCHED FOR THIS	(ψ1,070,702)	
09.2024	4,906	3,953	80.57%	99.02%	\$5,937,974	\$5,879,974	PHAs PUBLIC RECORDS	19.43%	
	,	- ,			, ,	, ,			
			99.02% of 1	oudget used to issu	ae only 80.57% of $ ilde{v}$	vouchers	OR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	(\$1,142,478)	
00.0004	4.006	4 000	01 700/	100.600/	ΦE 027 074	Φ Γ 070 <i>C</i> 70		10.000/	
08.2024	4,906	4,009	81.72%	100.60%	\$5,937,974	\$5,973,678	BUT WILL SOON.	18.28%	
			100 60% of	hudget used to iss	sue only 81.72% of	Tyouchers	Question: WHO ELSE DID	(\$1,091,988)	
			100.0070 01	budget used to is.	suc only 01.7270 of	vouchers	Question. Who ELSE DID	(ψ1,091,900)	
07.2024	4,906	4,029	82.12%	103.31%	\$5,937,974	\$6,134,573	ANTON KRUCKY LIE TO?)	17.88%	
	.,	.,			, ,	, ,	,		
			103.31% of	budget used to is:	sue only 82.12% of	vouchers		(\$1,096,861)	
06.0004	4.006	2.072	00 000/	00 540/	ΦE 027 074	ΦF 010 C00		10.000/	
06.2024	4,906	3,973	80.98%	99.54%	\$5,937,974	\$5,910,692		19.02%	
			00 54% of 1	oudget used to issu	16 only 80 08% of a	zouchers		(\$1,124,213)	
99.54% of budget used to issue only 80.98% of vouchers (\$									

HUD (FIGURE	S PER HUD/PIH E TOTAL VOUCHERS HUD ISSUED TO PHA	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS	VES FOR PHA 'CITY TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS HUD CONFIRMS PHA USED	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY PHA LIED TO HUD	ULU - SECTION 8 VOUCHE TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY HUD ACTUALLY PAID TO PHA	RS ONLY) TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET PHA LIED TO HUD CLAIMING IT USED ±100% OF SUBSIDY	PHA (PER PHA FINANCIAL AUDIT TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET PHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED = TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN			
05.2024	4,906	3,998	81.49%	100.86%	\$5,951,166	\$6,002,404		18.51%			
			100.86% of	.86% of budget used to issue only 81.49% of vouchers							
04.2024	4,906	4,024	82.02%	101.99%	\$5,948,488	\$6,067,103		17.98%			
			101.99% of	budget used to iss	sue only 82.02% of	vouchers		(\$1,090,865)			
03.2024	4,906	4,016	81.82%	101.05%	\$5,948,488	\$6,010.897		18.18%			
			101.05% of	budget used to iss	sue only 81.82% of	vouchers		(\$1,092,781)			
02.2024	4,906	4,039	82.33%	101.09%	\$5,948,488	\$6,013,287		17.67%			
			101.09% of	budget used to iss	sue only 82.33% of	vouchers		(\$1,062,547)			
01.2024	4,906	4,052	82.59%	101.52%	\$5,948,488	\$6,039,099		17.41%			
			101.52% of	budget used to iss	sue only 82.59% of	vouchers		(\$1,051,407)			

GRAND TOTAL OF SUBSIDY STOLEN IN ONLY ONE YEAR / 2024 = (\$10,942,886)

The following proves that the PHA has been stealing federal funds from the Section 8 program as far back as January 2015, which is as far back as HUD's "dashboard" provides actual data/figures. It is estimated that in the last 10 years, approximately a total of \$109,428,860 has been stolen from the Section 8 program.

 01.31.15
 3,678
 1,933
 52.56%
 \$2,119,059
 \$2,006,408
 47.44% =

 94.68% of budget used to issue only 52.56% of vouchers
 (\$1,005,281)

You can verify my figures and/or create your own 'Fraud Chart' (for years 2015-2023) by using the HUD "dashboard" that provides actual data for all PHAs in the country. https://www.hud.gov/program offices/public indian housing/programs/hcv/dashboard

Note: there are 3 (of 5) PHAs in Hawaii who are committing fraud: HPHA, City, and Kauai (see my website).

FACT: ANTON KRUCKY IS COMMITTING FRAUD BY FALSELY REPORTING TO HUD THAT HE USED ±100% OF THE SUBSIDY HE RECEIVED FROM HUD TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% OF THE VOUCHERS HE RECEIVED FROM HUD. REMEMBER, THE VOUCHERS BELONG TO HUD, SO HUD KNOWS EXACTLY HOW MANY VOUCHERS WERE ISSUED TO THE PHA, AND EXACTLY HOW MANY VOUCHERS THE PHA USED (ISSUED TO THE COMMUNITY).

Federal funds are ALSO stolen from other Section 8 programs. Example: VASH (veteran vouchers) ~ only 34 of 100 were issued to the public (34%), balance of funds are stolen.

FRAUD CHART ~ PHA: KAUAI COUNTY HOUSING AGENCY

(This 'Fraud Chart' proves fraud, implemented by Adam Roversi, Executive Director, Kauai County Housing Agency)

THIS CHART PROVES ADAM ROVERSI, THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE PHA KAUAI COUNTY HOUSING AGENCY, STOLE \$2,697,658 IN 2024 FROM THE SECTION 8 HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER PROGRAM. THE HUD 'DASHBOARD' PROVES THAT THE FRAUD SCHEME GOES AS FAR BACK AS JANUARY 2015 (10 YEARS), SO IT IS ESTIMATED THAT APPROXIMATELY \$26,976,580 IN FEDERAL FUNDS HAS BEEN STOLEN FROM THE SECTION 8 PROGRAM, WHICH IS A CRIME ACCORDING TO 18 U.S.C. §666 (REFER TO DOJ CRM 1002).

THIS CHART PROVES ADAM ROVERSI REPORTED TO HUD THAT **±100% OF THE S8 SUBSIDY HE RECEIVED FROM HUD WAS USED TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% OF THE S8 VOUCHERS**. THE FALSE FIGURES WERE REPORTED BY ADAM ROVERSI TO HUD, TO THE STATE AUDITORS, AND TO ALL CITY OFFICIALS, BUT FOR THE PURPOSE OF HIDING THE FRAUD SCHEME TO STEAL FEDERAL FUNDS FROM THE SECTION 8 PROGRAM. EVEN THOUGH I CREATED THIS CHART, I DID NOT CREATE THE FIGURES, WHICH FIGURES COME DIRECTLY FROM HUD. REFER TO HUD'S 'DASHBOARD' – INSTRUCTIONS CAN BE FOUND ON MY WEBSITE:

https://GovernmentCorruptionInHawaii.wordpress.com

HUD (FIGURE	S PER HUD/PIH I	BUDGET & RESER	VES FOR PHA 'CIT	Y AND COUNTY OF HONOL	ULU - SECTION 8 VOUCHE	RS ONLY)	PHA (PER PHA FINANCIAL AUDIT	S & BOARD MEETINGS)
	TOTAL VOUCHERS	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY PHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET PHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET PHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED =
MONTH/YEAR	HUD ISSUED TO PHA	HUD CONFIRMS PHA USED	S HUD CONFIRMS PHA USED	REPORTED TO TO HIDE FRAUD	HUD ACTUALLY PAID TO PHA	CLAIMING IT USED ±100% OF SUBSIDY	IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN FROM THE S8 PROGRAM
10.2024	1,178	852	72.33%	101.98%	\$1,005,680	\$1,025,575	(I HAVE NOT YET	27.67%
			101.98% of	budget/subsidy u	used to issue only 7	2.33% of vouchers	SEARCHED FOR THIS	(\$283,776)
09.2024	1,178	854	72.50%	101.99%	\$1,005,680	\$1,025,700	PHAs PUBLIC RECORDS	27.50%
			101.99% of	budget used to iss	sue only 72.50% of	vouchers	OR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	(\$282,067)
08.2024	1,178	862	73.17%	102.13%	\$1,005,680	\$1,027,104	BUT WILL SOON.	26.83%
			102.13% of	budget used to iss	sue only 73.17% of	vouchers	Question: WHO ELSE DID	(\$275,572)
07.2024	1,178	872	74.02%	102.01%	\$1,005,680	\$1,025,918	ANTON KRUCKY LIE TO?)	25.98%
			102.01% of	budget used to iss	sue only 74.02% of	vouchers		(\$266,533)
06.2024	1,178	882	74.87%	104.01%	\$1,005,680	\$1,046,029		25.13%
			104.01% of	budget used to iss	sue only 74.87% of	vouchers		(\$262,867)

HUD (FIGURES	S PER HUD/PIH BI	JDGET & RESERV	ES FOR PHA 'CITY	AND COUNTY OF HONOL	ULU - SECTION 8 VOUCHE	RS ONLY)	PHA (PER PHA FINANCIAL AUDIT	S & BOARD MEETINGS)		
	TOTAL VOUCHERS	TOTAL S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF S8 VOUCHERS	TOTAL % OF SUBSIDY PHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET 100% OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET PHA LIED TO HUD	TOTAL MONTHLY BUDGET PHA LIED AGAIN, CLAIMING	TOTAL % OF VOUCHERS NOT ISSUED =		
	HUD ISSUED				HUD ACTUALLY	CLAIMING IT USED	IT USED 100% OF SUBSIDY	TOTAL SUBSIDY STOLEN		
MONTH/YEAR	то рна	PHA USED	PHA USED	TO HIDE FRAUD	PAID TO PHA	±100% OF SUBSIDY	TO ISSUE ONLY ±80% VOUCHERS	FROM THE S8 PROGRAM		
05.2024	1,178	883	74.96%	103.83%	\$1,008,045	\$1,046,614		25.04%		
			103.83% of	83% of budget used to issue only 74.96% of vouchers						
04.2024	1,178	881	74.79%	103.59%	\$1,005,680	\$1,041,743		25.21%		
			103.59% of	59% of budget used to issue only 74.79% of vouchers						
03.2024	1,178	878	74.53%	102.84%	\$1,005,680	\$1,034,242		25.47%		
			102.84% of	budget used to iss	ue only 74.53% of	vouchers		(\$263,421)		
02.2024	1,178	866	73.51%	100.78%	\$1,005,680	\$1,013,548		26.49%		
			100.78% of	budget used to iss	ue only 73.51% of	vouchers		(\$268,488)		
01.2024	1,178	859	72.92%	98.46%	\$1,013,574	\$997,925		27.08%		
			98.46% of b	udget used to issu	e only 72.92% of v	ouchers		(\$270,239)		

GRAND TOTAL OF SUBSIDY STOLEN IN ONLY ONE YEAR / 2024 = (\$2,697,658)

The following proves that the PHA has been stealing federal funds from the Section 8 program as far back as January 2015, which is as far back as HUD's "dashboard" provides actual data/figures. It is estimated that in the last 10 years, approximately a total of \$26,976,580 has been stolen from the Section 8 program.

\$474.809 **01.31.15** 1,345 595 44.24% 99.30% \$471,489 55.76% = 99.30% of budget used to issue only 44.24% of vouchers (\$262,902)

You can verify my figures and/or create your own 'Fraud Chart' (for years 2015-2023) by using the HUD "dashboard" that provides actual data for all PHAs in the country. https://www.hud.gov/program offices/public indian housing/programs/hcv/dashboard

Note: there are 3 (of 5) PHAs in Hawaii who are committing fraud: HPHA, City, and Kauai (see my website).
FACT: ADAM ROVERSI IS COMMITTING FRAUD BY FALSELY REPORTING TO HUD THAT HE USED ±100% OF THE SUBSIDY HE RECEIVED FROM HUD TO ISSUE ONLY ±73% OF THE VOUCHERS HE RECEIVED FROM HUD. REMEMBER, THE VOUCHERS BELONG TO HUD, SO HUD KNOWS EXACTLY HOW MANY VOUCHERS WERE ISSUED TO THE PHA, AND EXACTLY HOW MANY VOUCHERS THE PHA USED (ISSUED TO THE COMMUNITY).

Federal funds are ALSO stolen from other Section 8 programs. Example: VASH (veteran vouchers) ~ only 22 of 28 were issued to veterans (78.57%), balance of funds are stolen.

CHART PROVES BRIBERY

THIS 'BRIBERY CHART' LISTS ALL THE HPHA MANAGERS WHO REPORT DIRECTLY TO HAKIM OUANSAFI, AND WHO HAVE RECEIVED OUTRAGEOUS SALARY INCREASES AS COMPENSATION IN RETURN FOR SUPPORTING AND IMPLEMENTING HAKIM OUANSAFI'S ILLEGAL FRAUD SCHEME TO STEAL FEDERAL FUNDS FROM THE SECTION 8 PROGRAM.

The 'FRAUD CHART' that I provided to the HPHA board members at the last board meeting on November 21 proved that, since 2015, Hakim Ouansafi has stolen over \$106M from the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, and that he continues to steal \$800k to \$1M each and every month. To accomplish such an elaborate fraud scheme, Hakim Ouansafi has solicited the support of his management staff by bribery in the form of rewarding his managers with outrageous salary increases.

In order to by-pass the authority of the HPHA Board of Directors and to by-pass the authority of the Hawaii Revised Statutes, Hakim Ouansafi was able to convince the legislature to pass **Senate Bill 3120** which grants Hakim Ouansafi sole authority to hire and to compensate his management staff a salary "...that exceeds the Governor's salary...".

Note: 1. The figures in this chart were obtained through various websites. The figures have not been confirmed, so I welcome any corrections.

- 2. **Not** all the managers listed below participate in Hakim Ouansafi's fraud scheme, but are noted only for the purpose of comparing wages and frequency of salary increases.
- 3. The **RED** highlights the amount of the employee's salary.

(earns 99% of HPHA Director's salary, approved by HPHA board members)

4. The GREEN highlights the amount of the outrageous increase in salary, which is **not in accordance with the State's job classification or compensation schedules**.

STATE EMPLOYEE	2024 SALARY	2022 SALARY	2020 SALARY	2018 SALARY	2016 SALARY	2013 SALARY	2012 SALARY	2011 SALARY	
Josh Green Governor	\$184,860 +\$22,308	\$162,552 (Lt.Gov)	\$162,552 (Lt.Gov) + \$101,172	\$61,380 (Senator sa	alary)				
Sylvia Luke Lt. Governor	\$183,804 +\$121,200	\$62,604 (State Hou	\$62,604 (State House Representative salary)						
Ryan Yamane DHS Director HPHA BOARD MEMBER / Ouansafi's	\$157,104 (Dir) +\$94,500 s boss	\$62,604 (State House	\$62,604 (State House Representative salary)						
Joseph Campos DHS Deputy Director HPHA BOARD MEMBER / ex-HPHA	\$161,028 (Ddir) +\$26,352 A employee	\$134,676 (Ddir) +\$56,256	\$78,420 (<u>HPHA</u> Ad	ministrative Services Ass	st.)				

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TEAM (IN ORDER BY NAME AS LISTED ON THE HPHA WEBSITE)

Hakim Ouansafi	\$183,012	\$163,392	\$157,116	\$147,816	\$139,297	\$130,865	
HPHA Executive Director	<u>+\$19,620</u>	+\$6,276	+\$9,300	+\$8,519	+\$8,432		
(earns 99% of Governor's salary, app	proved by HPHA board	members)					
Owner: First Commercial Consulting Service "Purpose: Housing and Hotels Dev			46994 C5)				
Barbara Arashiro	\$181,182	\$150,324	\$144,552	\$133,032	\$125,367	\$110,240	\$79,176
HPHA Director's Assistant	+\$30,858	+\$5,772	+\$11,520	+\$7,665	+\$15,127	+\$31,064	

PAGE 1 OF 5

STATE EMPLOYEE	2024 SALARY	2022 SALARY	2020 SALARY	2018 SALARY	2016 SALARY	2013 SALARY	2012 SALARY	2011 SALARY
Bennett Liu HPHA Chief Financial Officer	\$144,936 +\$56,472	\$88,464 (Asst. Chie	ef Financial Officer salary)				
FRAUDULENTLY ALTERS THE HPI		ATEMENTS, PROVI	DES FALSE INFOR	MATION TO HUD	AND TO STATE AL	JDITORS		
Not a defendant in my lawsuit – will a	opeal the court's de	cision to deny me the	e right to amend my	complaint to add th	ne claim of Fraud an	d to add Bennett Liu	as a defendant.	
Gary Nakatsu HPHA Acting Section 8 Branch Chief (HPHA Resident Svc Program Specia	\$77,100 ilist)	\$72,684	\$69,732	\$68,198	\$62,424	\$60,024	\$60,024	\$57,024
Jennifer Sugita HPHA PM & Maintenance Services Branch Chief	\$136,140 +\$42,936	\$93,204 (Deputy AG	9) \$90,804	\$87,012 +\$15,300	\$71,712	\$65,004	\$61,752	
Becky Choi HPHA Housing Development Adminis	\$105,792 strator							\$49,332
Rick Sogawa HPHA Contracts and Procurements Officer	\$101,460	\$95,652	\$91,776	\$89,760 +\$16,728	\$73,032	\$70,224 +\$18,912	\$51,312 (Program	Tenant Specialist)
Ryan Akamine HPHA Chief Compliance Officer	\$114,132 +\$16,080	\$98,052 (Deputy A	G salary) (hired as HPH	A Chief Compliance Off	icer in Nov 2021)			
ENFORCES HAKIM OUANSAFI'S IL Defendant in lawsuit – Thorson v. HP		AND FRAUD SCHE	ME					
Shirley Befitel HPHA Human Resource and Safety C	\$101,616 Officer	\$95,784	\$91,896	\$89,868	\$82,248	\$79,080	\$79,080	\$75,132
Nelson Lee HPHA Systems Analyst Administrator	\$95,652 +\$8,736	\$86,916 +\$1,916	\$85,000 (Analyst) + \$11,224	\$73,776 +\$6,264	\$67,512 +\$12,012	\$55,500	\$55,500	\$52,728
Benjamin Park HPHA Chief Planner	\$95,508	\$90,036 (Supv) +\$14,604	\$75,432 (PM)	\$73,776 (PM) +\$13,764	\$60,012 (Housing	Planner)		
Dallis Ontiveros HPHA Housing Information Officer	\$77,100		DAG	T 2 OF F				

STATE 2024 2022 2020 2018 2016 2013 2012 2011 **SALARY** SALARY SALARY **SALARY EMPLOYEE** SALARY SALARY SALARY **SALARY**

STATE EMPLOYEE - This employee is a HPHA supervisor, not a manager. This employee reports to Ryan Akamine (Chief Compliance Officer)

Lyle Matsuura \$86,712 \$55,200 (Supv IV) \$52,956 (Supv IV) \$51,792 (Resident Svcs Program Specialist)

HPHA Supervisor IV +\$31,512

ENFORCES AND APPLIES ILLEGAL POLICIES TO ALL SECTION 8 VOUCHERS

Defendant in lawsuit - Thorson v. HPHA, et al

THE FOLLOWING IS A LIST OF THE HPHA BOARD OF DIRECTORS (IN ORDER BY NAME AS LISTED ON THE HPHA WEBSITE):

Robert Hall – Chairperson (HHL Housing) \$100,248 \$87,780

Representing: City & County of Oahu (no such name or employer)

Owner:

Aukai Pacific IIc,, Active Business Registry #44799 C5

(provides "...consulting services for project management, project development (funding, planning, design, permitting & construction) and community & government relations, coordination and support..."

Owner:

RJH Pacific IIc, Active Business Registry #95237 C5

(provides "...consulting services for project financing, development and management; technical assistance & training for strategic planning, business structure, project operations; government relations & coordination..."

Betty Lou Larson – Vice Chairperson

Representing: Persons with Disabilities

Susan Kunz – Secretary \$126,420 (Housing Administrator)

Representing: County of Hawaii

Ryan Yamane

Representing: Department of Human Services (Director) / Hakim Ouansafi's boss

Ryan Yamane \$157,104 (Dir) \$62,604 (State House Representative salary)

DHS Director +\$94,500

PAGE 3 OF 5

STATE 2024 2022 2020 2018 2016 2013 2012 2011 SALARY **SALARY SALARY** SALARY SALARY **SALARY** SALARY **SALARY EMPLOYEE**

Joseph H. Campos II

Representing: Department of Human Services (Deputy Director)

Joseph H. Campos II \$161,028 (Ddir) \$134,676 (Ddir) \$78,420 (HPHA Administrative Services Asst.)

DHS Deputy Director +\$26,352 +\$56,256

ex-HPHA EMPLOYEE

Scott Glenn

Representing: Office of the Governor

Scott Glenn \$156,000 (GovRep) \$147,540 (Energy Ofcr) \$109,500 (Dir OEQC)

Governor Rep +\$8,460 +\$38,040

Lisa Anne Darcy

Representing: County of Maui

Roy Katsuda

Representing: Low Income / Homeless

Christyl Nagao

Representing: County of Kauai

Employer:

KMG Kama'aina Mortgage Group / Loan Officer

Todd Taniguchi

Representing: At-Large

Owner:

Lynk Programs IIc , Business Registry #20664 C5 (not in good standing)

Taniguchi is a state contractor who provides government services to the State of Hawaii. Background is in commercial real estate asset management.)

Questions to the court:

Is it a conflict of interest for a state employee to serve on a state board, being required to supervise and approve the operations of a state admin agency?

Is it a conflict of interest for an ex-HPHA employee to serve as a HPHA board member, to supervise and approve the operations of his ex-employer the HPHA?

Is it a conflict of interest for a HPHA board member to be employed as a State government contractor, and/or provide paid services to the State of Hawaii?

Research case law.

Request court define "conflict of interest" to disqualify as a HPHA Board of Director.